



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/294,073	04/19/1999	SUK-SONG OH	3598-6	5738

7590 01/03/2002

M. AUTHUR AUSLANDER
AUSLANDER & THOMAS
505 EIGHTH AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10018

EXAMINER

WILSON, JOHN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3732

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/294,073

Applicant(s)

OH, SUK-SONG

Examiner

John J. Wilson

Art Unit

3732

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 7-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Martin. Martin shows a gutta-percha point having a plurality of spaced integral working length marks 2-5 along the upper portion as shown.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin in view of Cohen. Martin does not show using color marks. Cohen teaches using color. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Martin to include colored marks as shown by Cohen in order to make use of well known ways in the art to make marks that can be seen clearly.

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin. Martin shows the structure described above, however, does not show painting, claim 10. Martin does teach that the marks can be made by any conventional mechanical imprinting method, column 2, lines 61-64. To use painting is an obvious matter of choice in well known conventional methods to one of ordinary skill in the art. As to claim 11, Martin teaches 19mm to 25mm. The specific range of distances used is an obvious matter of choice in the degree of a known parameter used in order to better fit a tooth canal.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin in view of Johnson. Martin does not show using embossed marks. Johnson teaches using embossed marks 20. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Martin to include embossed marks as shown by Johnson in order to make use of well known ways in the art to make marks that can be seen clearly.


Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin in view of Harrison, III. Martin does not show using engraved marks. Harrison teaches using engraving, column 4, line 20. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Martin to include engraved marks as shown by Harrison in order to make use of well known ways in the art to make marks that can be seen clearly.

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

Applicant's remarks filed June 29, 2000 have been considered, however, are deemed moot in view of the newly applied reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Wilson at telephone number (703) 308-2699.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "John J. Wilson". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

John J. Wilson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3732

jjw

December 31, 2001

Fax (703) 308-2708